Page 1 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD KCBX TERMINALS COMPANY,) Petitioner,) vs.) No. PCB 14-110 ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL) (Permit Appeal - Air) PROTECTION AGENCY,) Respondent.)

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS taken before HEARING OFFICER BRADLEY HALLORAN by Kari Wiedenhaupt, CSR, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 100 West Randolph Street, Room 2-025, Chicago, Illinois, on the 2nd day of May 2014, at the hour of 1:17 p.m.

Kari Wiedenhaupt License No.: 084-004725

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, 100 WEST RANDOLPH STREET	
3	SUITE 11-500 CHICAGO, IL 60601	
4	(312) 814-6983 BY: BRADLEY HALLORAN, HEARING OFFICER	
5	HODGE, DWYER & DRIVER	
6	BY: KATHERINE D. HODGE 3150 ROLAND AVENUE	
7	POST OFFICE BOX 5776 SPRINGFIELD, IL 62705	
8	(217) 523-4900 -and-	
9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP BY: STEPHEN SWEDLOW	
10	MICHELLE SCHMIT 500 WEST MADISON STREET	
11	SUITE 2450 CHICAGO, IL 60661	
12	(312) 705-7400 Representing the Petitioner;	
13	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
14	BY: KATHRYN A. PAMENTER	
15	CHRISTOPHER J. GRANT ROBERT PETTI 69 WEST WASHINGTON STREET	
16	18TH FLOOR	
17	CHICAGO, IL 60602 (312) 814-2069	
18	-and- ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
19	BY: JAMES LEE MORGAN 1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST POST OFFICE BOX 19276	
20	SPRINGFIELD, IL 62794	
21	(217) 524-1376 Representing the Respondent.	
22		
23		
24		

				Page 3
1				
2		INDEX		
3	WITNESS		EXAMINATION	
4	(None.)			
5				
6	EXH	HIBITS		
7	NUMBER	ADMITTED	OFFER OF PROOF	
8	PETITIONER'S Exhib	pit		
9	Nos. 1-2	9		
10	No. 3	10		
11				
12	RESPONDENT'S Exhib	pit		
13	Nos. 1-2	11		
14	No. 3		11	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

Page 4 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Good morning, everyone. We are back on the record. My name is Bradley Halloran, Hearing Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is FCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Mho would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am going to hand a copy to Mr. Halloran and also		
<pre>2 right. Good morning, everyone. We are back on 3 the record. My name is Bradley Halloran, Hearing 4 Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. 5 I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX 6 Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois 7 Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. 8 The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. 9 As stated earlier, this was 10 continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. 11 Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I 12 believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact 13 Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to 14 call it a day yesterday. My understanding is 15 Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an 16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am</pre>		Page 4
the record. My name is Bradley Halloran, Hearing Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? Ms. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	1	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
 Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? Ms. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am 	2	right. Good morning, everyone. We are back on
 I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am 	3	the record. My name is Bradley Halloran, Hearing
 Ferminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am 	4	Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board.
Final Protection Agency, the Respondent. The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. As stated earlier, this was continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? Ms. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	5	I am also assigned to this matter entitled, KCBX
8 The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110. 9 As stated earlier, this was 10 continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. 11 Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I 12 believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact 13 Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to 14 call it a day yesterday. My understanding is 15 Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an 16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	6	Terminals Company, Petitioner, versus Illinois
9As stated earlier, this was10continued on record from yesterday, May 1st.11Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I12believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact13Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to14call it a day yesterday. My understanding is15Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an16aside, the State has turned over my17understanding the privilege log or is about to,18the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order.19Who would like to speak first,20or should we go the State, I guess. The21Agency?22MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon,23Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	7	Environmental Protection Agency, the Respondent.
10 continued on record from yesterday, May 1st. 11 Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I 12 believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact 13 Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to 14 call it a day yesterday. My understanding is 15 Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an 16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	8	The Board's docket number is PCB 14-110.
11Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I12believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact13Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to14call it a day yesterday. My understanding is15Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an16aside, the State has turned over my17understanding the privilege log or is about to,18the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order.19Who would like to speak first,20or should we go the State, I guess. The21Agency?22MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon,23Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	9	As stated earlier, this was
12 believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact 13 Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to 14 call it a day yesterday. My understanding is 15 Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an 16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	10	continued on record from yesterday, May 1st.
Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to call it a day yesterday. My understanding is Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	11	Today is May 2nd at approximately 1:10 p.m. And I
14 call it a day yesterday. My understanding is 15 Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an 16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	12	believe Ms. Armitage well, I know for a fact
Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an aside, the State has turned over my understanding the privilege log or is about to, the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. Who would like to speak first, or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	13	Ms. Armitage was the witness when we decided to
16 aside, the State has turned over my 17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	14	call it a day yesterday. My understanding is
<pre>17 understanding the privilege log or is about to, 18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am</pre>	15	Mr. Swedlow of KCBX was examining her and as an
18 the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order. 19 Who would like to speak first, 20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	16	aside, the State has turned over my
Who would like to speak first, Or should we go the State, I guess. The Agency? MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	17	understanding the privilege log or is about to,
<pre>20 or should we go the State, I guess. The 21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am</pre>	18	the documents pursuant to the May 1st Board order.
21 Agency? 22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	19	Who would like to speak first,
22 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon, 23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	20	or should we go the State, I guess. The
23 Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am	21	Agency?
	22	MS. PAMENTER: Yes. Good afternoon,
24 going to hand a copy to Mr. Halloran and also	23	Mr. Halloran. If the record can reflect, I am
	24	going to hand a copy to Mr. Halloran and also

	Page 5
1	Mr. Swedlow of what we have prepared of the fifth
2	supplement to the administrative record.
3	Included within, this is in
4	response to the Board's order of May 1st, 2014.
5	The documents included therein are Exhibits A and
6	C through I, as well as starting at R2093, the
7	e-mails that were attached to the Motion For
8	Reconsideration in redacted form now attached to
9	the fifth supplement are in un-redacted form.
10	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank
11	you, Mr. Pamenter.
12	Mr. Swedlow, are you going to
13	need a little time to look over these things or
14	MR. SWEDLOW: My question is, what
15	is left in the proceeding? Is it only questions
16	relating to these documents, or does the do you
17	plan to ask questions?
18	MS. PAMENTER: I think and just
19	for clarification, I think where we had left
20	yesterday, Mr. Swedlow had indicated that he had
21	completed his examination with the exception of
22	asking questions regarding the e-mails that were
23	attached to the Motion For Reconsideration.
24	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That was

May 2, 2014

Page 6 1 my understanding. MS. PAMENTER: So I think that's 2 3 where we were last at. 4 MR. SWEDLOW: Right. And my 5 question is, is the State going to ask more 6 questions of Ms. Armitage or not? 7 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I 8 -- State? 9 I would just say it MS. PAMENTER: 10 depends upon the questions that you ask with respect to the e-mails. 11 12 MR. SWEDLOW: Otherwise no? 13 MS. PAMENTER: I need to confer with my client, but I don't believe so. 14 15 MR. GRANT: Are you asking if we 16 want to open up our case again or --17 MR. SWEDLOW: Exactly. 18 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are 19 still on the record. 20 MR. GRANT: No. 21 MS. PAMENTER: No, we do not. 22 MR. SWEDLOW: One question I have 23 regarding these documents is the privilege log 24 goes from P1 to P42. The documents produced

May 2, 2014

Page 7 1 include 23, 24, 25, 26, 30, an unnumbered page, 2 31, 32, 33, 40, 41, 42 and then documents which I 3 don't believe were on the privilege log, P43 and P44. 4 So I have some confusion. 5 Were 6 the rest of these already produced into the 7 record? 8 MS. PAMENTER: Yes. For the record, 9 after the April 17th order, we produced 10 un-redacted copies in a supplement to the administrative record of certain of the e-mails 11 that were attached to the privilege log. As such, 12 13 the only e-mails that were left to be produced in 14 an un-redacted form were those attached to the 15 Motion For Reconsideration. Pursuant to the 16 Board's order of yesterday, we have produced those 17 in the fifth supplement. 18 MR. SWEDLOW: And documents P43 and 44, oh, were previously --19 20 MS. PAMENTER: I believe so, 21 correct. 22 MR. SWEDLOW: If I could just have 23 five minutes. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We are

May 2, 2014

Page 8 1 going to give Mr. Swedlow five minutes. We can go 2 off the record. 3 (Whereupon, a short break was 4 taken.) HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 5 We are back on the record. Mr. Swedlow has taken a look 6 7 at some of the documents that have been turned over. Mr. Swedlow? 8 MR. SWEDLOW: I have no further 9 10 questions for Ms. Armitage and would like to give a brief summation or a closing statement. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okav. Let me take care of some administrative stuff 13 14 first, these Petitioner's exhibits and the 15 Respondent's exhibits. Petitioner's Exhibit 1 is 16 17 regarding the opinion disclosure, Kolaz and Terry 18 Steinert, Mike Estadt, I think those are it. 19 Any objection? Did you want to 20 move these into evidence? 21 MR. SWEDLOW: Yes. I would like to 22 move into -- should I do them one by one? 23 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. 24 MR. SWEDLOW: Petitioner's Exhibit 1

May 2, 2014

Page 9 1 is the disclosure that you just identified, and we would like to move that into the record. 2 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any 4 objection? 5 MR. GRANT: No. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So moved. 6 7 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 was admitted into 8 9 evidence.) MR. SWEDLOW: Petitioner's Exhibit 2 10 11 is the curriculum vitae for David J. Kolaz. Ι 12 would like to move that into the record. 13 MR. GRANT: No objection. 14 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So moved. 15 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibit 16 No. 2 was admitted into 17 evidence.) MR. SWEDLOW: And Petitioner's 18 19 Exhibit 3 is a portion of the code, Section 20 201.158, and some incomplete aspects of the other sections of the code. But it is Section 158 we 21 22 would like to move into the record. 23 MR. GRANT: No objection. 24 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. So

May 2, 2014

Page 10 1 moved. 2 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibit 3 No. 3 was admitted into 4 evidence.) 5 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So Petitioner's Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 are moved into 6 7 evidence. 8 And next I have Respondent's 9 Exhibits 1 and 2, and 3 was a photograph I took as 10 an offer of proof, a photograph of, I guess, KCBX's facility. Mr. Grant, would you like to --11 12 MR. GRANT: May I approach? 13 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you 14 may. 15 MR. GRANT: Petitioner's -- I'm 16 sorry -- Respondent's Exhibit 1 is a portion of 17 the Board regulation, specifically Section 201.152. I would like to move that into evidence. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any 20 objection? 21 MR. SWEDLOW: No objection. 22 MR. GRANT: Respondent's Exhibit 2 23 is another excerpt from the Board regulations, 24 specifically Section 212.321. I would like to

May 2, 2014

Page 11 1 move that into evidence. 2 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Any 3 objection? 4 MR. SWEDLOW: No objection. 5 MR. GRANT: And just to ask, are you 6 accepting Respondent's Exhibit 3, the photograph, 7 as an offer of proof? 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I am. MR. GRANT: So --9 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 10 Respondent's Exhibits 1 and 2 are moved into 11 12 evidence. Respondent's Exhibit No. 3 is taken 13 with the case as an offer of proof. 14 (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit 15 Nos. 1-2 were admitted into 16 evidence.) 17 (Whereupon, Respondent's Exhibit 18 No. 3 was accepted as an offer 19 of proof.) 20 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And one 21 other thing, there was a -- we addressed it 22 yesterday, but I just want to make clear. There 23 was a Motion to Compel Production of Documents 24 responsive to deposition rider for Julie Armitage

	Page 12
1	dated April 25th, 2014, and it was Petitioner's
2	motion obviously. I think we addressed that
3	yesterday when the Agency stated, to the best of
4	their knowledge, they have turned everything over
5	and complied with it. And KCBX seemed to take
6	that and acknowledge it.
7	Is that my understanding?
8	MR. SWEDLOW: That's correct.
9	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So that
10	would be this is basically moot then?
11	MR. SWEDLOW: It's withdrawn and
12	moot.
13	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. SWEDLOW: The only one other
16	I don't know how to do this procedurally, but when
17	the record there were four record supplements
18	by the State, one on April 21st and the last one
19	on April 24th, which was a week ago. We didn't
20	know what any of that was or have a chance to
21	object to any of it, but we now know that one of
22	those pages were photographs from a camera that
23	were never given to anyone at any point before
24	being turned over for purposes of this hearing.

,	Page 13
1	And there is no way that we
2	can that those can be authenticated or
3	challenged or identified as a basis for any part
4	of this permit appeal. So I would like to at
5	least state the objection to that page of four
6	photographs, one of which is part of the offer of
7	proof where the tree grew where it had not
8	previously been. The page number on that, I think
9	it's in the fourth supplement, is R1432.
10	Handwritten on the top in somebody's handwriting
11	is 3/6/2012.
12	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Where is
13	that in the record, sir?
14	MR. SWEDLOW: 1432. It's towards
15	the end of what we had before this morning.
16	The testimony that I think
17	it's undisputed that these were on the camera of
18	the inspector and never provided to the Agency,
19	were not part of any record or any inspection
20	report for any site, but were photos that he found
21	on his camera two years later and then provided
22	for use in this permit appeal.
23	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I
24	think this was talked about for about 25,

	Page 14
1	30 minutes the other day. I didn't really hear an
2	objection to that at the time, only to where the
3	tree was and now there isn't. So I you know,
4	you can state it for the record, but I would
5	overrule the objection and allow it in.
6	MR. SWEDLOW: The record is going to
7	reflect whatever it does, but I believe that
8	Mr. Dwyer at the time did object to these. These
9	were photos that were claimed to have been taken
10	from another facility and showed some plume in the
11	vicinity of the south site, and there were
12	objections. The objections are either on the
13	record or they are not.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
15	And I am sure I let them in.
16	MR. GRANT: Do you want me to
17	respond or
18	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, you
19	can respond. Sure.
20	MR. GRANT: Yeah, I just wanted just
21	to note that, number one, it's in evidence. We
22	authenticated the photograph with Mr. Kotas who
23	testified to the proper foundation for the
24	photograph and described it, and it was in the

ſ

	Page 15
1	record for the purpose of explaining the
2	conclusions in his inspection report, which was
3	also in the record. So that's all I have.
4	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All
5	right. Thank you, Mr. Grant. Mr. Swedlow?
6	MS. PAMENTER: If I can
7	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm
8	sorry. Go ahead, Ms. Pamenter.
9	MS. PAMENTER: If I can just for
10	the
11	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know
12	what, let's let Ms. Armitage you are dismissed.
13	MS. PAMENTER: Well, just to
14	clarify, Mr. Swedlow was completing his
15	cross-examination. So I just want to state we
16	don't have any questions on redirect for
17	Ms. Armitage, for the record, just before you
18	dismiss her. So that we have closed that.
19	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right.
20	Thank you.
21	MS. PAMENTER: Thank you.
22	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right.
23	Cleaning up the record. Thank you, Ms. Armitage.
24	You may step down.

16

	Page
1	(Whereupon, the witness stepped
2	down.)
3	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: After
4	your respective closings, we will just go through
5	the post-hearing briefing schedule again so
6	everybody is on the same page.
7	Mr. Swedlow, you are on.
8	MR. SWEDLOW: Although this appeal
9	appears complicated because the record is now
10	almost 2,000 pages long, and the hearing is in its
11	fourth day, this is a relatively simple
12	application for a revision to a construction
13	permit that involved the transfer of 12 portable
14	pieces of equipment from one permitted facility at
15	KCBX north to another permitted facility at KCBX
16	south.
17	The initial analysis by the
18	permit engineer, Mike Dragovich, led to an initial
19	conclusion that this revision to the construction
20	permit should be granted. The documents that we
21	were given moments ago demonstrate that even as
22	late as October 10, the Agency through Mike
23	Dragovich on R2093 is indicating and this is
24	from the e-mail.

	Page 17
1	"Is it okay to send the company
2	a draft of the permit to review for their
3	comments?" That draft is in the record at R650,
4	and that draft indicates that the permit should be
5	granted. The information that has now been
6	identified as lacking wasn't even investigated for
7	being lacking until December 5th, and how do we
8	know or how do we know why the Agency changed its
9	position on this permit?
10	We know from the e-mail we just
11	received moments ago from Chris Pressnall in
12	response to the request to send the granting of
13	this permit to KCBX and the response is, "Mike,
14	for now hold off on sending a draft to the company
15	for review. I will likely be contacting KCBX
16	counsel to discuss this matter. As you may know,
17	IAGO which is the Illinois Attorney General's
18	Office is pursuing enforcement against KCBX for
19	fugitive PM emissions, and permitting issues are
20	involved at least tangentially at this point, and
21	potentially more so in the future."
22	What happened here is that the
23	Illinois Attorney General intended to pursue an
24	enforcement action, which is supposed to be kept

	Page
1	separate from permitting requests, but instead of
2	being kept separate, the fact is that an
3	enforcement action was filed, which is an
4	un-adjudicated alleged violation, as opposed to an
5	adjudicated violation, which can be considered
6	under Rule 39(a).
7	Instead of being kept separate,
8	the permitting section was told to deny this
9	permit, and so what happened at that point is that
10	the file was investigated a little closer, or as
11	one witness said, they were instructed to take a
12	closer look. So Bob Bernoteit took the file on
13	December 5th and identified reasons that he
14	believed would constitute a technical denial.
15	There never was a letter sent for incompleteness
16	or a notice of incompleteness, and under the rules
17	that govern permit applications, incompleteness
18	cannot then be a reason for denial.
19	But what has been identified as
20	the incompleteness or insufficiency within this
21	permit application file? One is that the
22	calculations relating to the specific pieces of
23	portable equipment weren't stapled to the
24	application. In the record they appeared

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

Page 18

May 2, 2014

Page 19 1 immediately following that application, starting 2 at 205. On 204, the actual application that was 3 submitted ends. 4 So somebody at Illinois EPA, 5 including the permit engineer, was able to find the initial application that was referenced. 6 Then 7 during the opening statement, which followed 8 KCBX's close of its case and chief, three things 9 were identified as missing from the file that 10 would justify denial. One of those was the 11 12 manufacturer of the equipment, except Bob 13 Bernoteit said he wouldn't need that for portable 14 conveyors. The other was the serial number, 15 except Bob Bernoteit identified he wouldn't need that for this equipment. And the final one was 16 17 the model number of the equipment, which Bob 18 Bernoteit said doesn't matter for purposes of 19 permitting portable conveyors. 20 These portable conveyors had already been permitted at KCBX north, and why does 21 22 that matter? It matters because in the context of 23 that permitting, none of the equipment specific 24 emissions calculations or process weight rate

May 2, 2014

1 calculations were submitted, and this equipment 2 was permitted. 3 The question has been raised 4 whether KCBX should have known that they should 5 have submitted equipment specific calculations for 6 either process weight rate or potential emissions. 7 KCBX should not have known, and if the Agency wanted that information, it should have and must 8 have requested that information in a notice of 9 10 incompleteness within 30 days of the application. The formerly privileged e-mails 11 12 that were produced today indicate on page R2097 13 that it -- this is from Bob Bernoteit on 14 December 10th to Julie Armitage. "It is too late 15 to send an NOI." So instead, they sent a Wells 16 letter. The next sentence says, "Did you mean a 17 Wells letter?" And what did that Wells letter 18 identify as information outside the record that 19 would be reviewed? It was citizen complaints, the 20 fact that an enforcement action had been filed, and inspection reports, none of which can be the 21 22 basis for the denial of a revised construction 23 permit, because all of those are un-adjudicated 24 and only alleged violations.

Page 20

	Page 21
1	Obviously, the enforcement
2	action is just that, an enforcement action. If
3	the IEPA or the Attorney General wants this site
4	to comply with an existing regulation consistent
5	with its existing permit, then it should bring an
6	enforcement action and has. This is a way to
7	sidestep the due process rights that KCBX has in
8	the context of an enforcement action.
9	With respect to the citizen
10	complaints that appear over the course of 200
11	pages in the record, they are largely blank, often
12	accused some other facility of releasing dust into
13	the environment, and were almost completely
14	collected after the environmental justice outreach
15	meeting identified KCBX as a potential cause of
16	concern in the community.
17	Similarly, the environmental
18	justice policy does not provide any statutory or
19	regulatory basis to deny a permit. It's a policy
20	that IEPA has adopted that doesn't change IEPA's
21	obligations under Rule 39(a) to issue a permit
22	when it is so required to issue a permit.
23	The Illinois Environmental
24	Protection Agency has also claimed that it didn't

	Page 22
1	know enough about the current dust suppression
2	system at the site. The problem with that
3	argument is that the head of the Bureau of Air
4	indicated that the fugitive dust plan that she was
5	actually considering was the most recent one, the
6	proposed revised FPOP, submitted on November 1st.
7	That FPOP identified 42 water cannons, best
8	management practices and various other dust
9	suppression techniques that were in operation at
10	the time the permit was denied.
11	The other way that specifically
12	Bob Bernoteit knew about what was being done at
13	the site to suppress dust and what would be done
14	at the site to suppress dust with respect to these
15	portable pieces of equipment, the reason he knew
16	about that is because he attended a meeting for
17	most of the day on December 5th, where what is now
18	part of the record, information was conveyed about
19	the complete coverage of the entire site by the 42
20	new water cannons; the water trucks now numbering
21	three that were operational at the site, the
22	integration of an automated system that included a
23	weather station and a barometric pressure reading
24	to anticipate changes in winds and in direction s

May 2, 2014

1 of the winds, and several other best management 2 practices specifically referencing what would be 3 done in freezing conditions to supplement the 4 system that could be operated in nonfreezing 5 conditions. None of the bases that have now 6 7 been identified were the actual bases for the IEPA 8 to deny this permit, and none of the bases that have now been identified are valid bases. 9 The 10 information that was supposedly deficient was never identified as part of an incompleteness 11 12 notice and was not submitted either for this equipment at north, or for similar equipment when 13 14 converted from portable to fixed conveyors at 15 south. There was no reason for KCBX to 16 17 believe that any additional information should have been submitted or needed to be submitted. 18 19 The Wells letter didn't ask for any other 20 information, because it can't. A Wells letter is 21 simply an identification of what outside the 22 record is going to be considered. The problem 23 with the stuff that was considered outside the 24 record is that none of that is an adjudicated

> L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. 312-419-9292

Page 23

May 2, 2014

Page 24 1 violation, and none of that can form the basis for 2 a permit denial. 3 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank 4 you, Mr. Swedlow. Agency? 5 MS. PAMENTER: We will not be making 6 a closing, but rather will be relying on our 7 post-hearing brief. 8 HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you 9 so much, Ms. Pamenter. 10 And I do want to go over the briefing schedule we had originally agreed to. 11 12 What I have is based on an expedited transcript -and by the way, the hearings on April 29th, 30th 13 and May 1st, they are already online, and we thank 14 15 the court reporters for doing that. 16 Based on an expedited 17 transcript, Petitioner's post-hearing brief is due 18 to be filed on or before May 9th, and my 19 understanding is the transcript from the hearing today, May 2nd, I guess the latest it can be 20 online or turned in and completed is -- that would 21 22 be Wednesday, May 7th. I think that's right. 23 But in any event, Respondent's 24 post-hearing brief is due to be filed on or before

	_
	Page 25
1	May 16th, and the record will be closed on May
2	16th. It doesn't look like we have any time for
3	reply from KCBX. I think we agreed on that.
4	Public comments must be filed on
5	or before May 6th, and I stated that the mailbox
6	rule does not apply to any of the above-mentioned
7	filings, and all electronic or approved telefax
8	filings must be received by the Clerk's Office no
9	later than 4:30 p.m. of the due date.
10	Are all parties in agreement
11	with that?
12	MR. SWEDLOW: Yes.
13	MS. PAMENTER: Yes.
14	HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
15	That's great. Anyway, this concludes our Day 4
16	hearing, in an estimated two-day hearing
17	situation, but, you know, I do want to thank you
18	all for your civility and professionalism,
19	especially under the circumstances, and that
20	closes the hearing. Thank you so much.
21	(END OF PROCEEDINGS.)
22	
23	
24	

May 2, 2014

Page 26 1 2 I, KARI WIEDENHAUPT, do hereby certify that 3 the foregoing was reported by stenographic and mechanical means, which matter was held on the 4 5 date, and at the time and place set out on the 6 title page hereof and that the foregoing 7 constitutes a true and accurate transcript of 8 same. 9 I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties, nor am I an employee of or 10 11 related to any of the attorneys representing the 12 parties, and I have no financial interest in the outcome of this matter. 13 14 I have hereunder subscribed my hand on the day of 2014. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 KARI WIEDENHAUPT, CSR 23 24

Page 27

r				Taye 27
	appeal 1:6 13:4	22:23	case 6:16 11:13	17:1,14
A	13:22 16:8	based 24:12,16	19:8	Compel 11:23
able 19:5		· · · · ·	cause 21:15	-
above-mentio	appear 21:10	bases 23:6,7,8,9		complaints
25:6	APPEARAN	basically 12:10	certain 7:11	20:19 21:10
accepted 11:18	2:1	basis 13:3 20:22	certify 26:2,9	complete 22:19
accepting 11:6	appeared 18:24	21:19 24:1	challenged 13:3	completed 5:21
accurate 26:7	appears 16:9	believe 4:12	chance 12:20	24:21
accused 21:12	application	6:14 7:3,20	change 21:20	completely
acknowledge	16:12 18:21,24	14:7 23:17	changed 17:8	21:13
12:6	19:1,2,6 20:10	believed 18:14	changes 22:24	completing
action 17:24	applications	Bernoteit 18:12	Chicago 1:14	15:14
18:3 20:20	18:17	19:13,15,18	2:3,11,16	complicated
21:2,2,6,8	apply 25:6	20:13 22:12	chief 19:8	16:9
actual 19:2 23:7	approach 10:12	best 12:3 22:7	Chris 17:11	complied 12:5
additional 23:17	approved 25:7	23:1	CHRISTOPH	comply 21:4
addressed 11:21	approximately	blank 21:11	2:14	concern 21:16
12:2	4:11	Board 1:1,13 2:2	circumstances	concludes 25:15
adjudicated	April 7:9 12:1	4:4,18 10:17	25:19	conclusion
18:5 23:24	12:18,19 24:13	10:23	citizen 20:19	16:19
administrative	argument 22:3	Board's 4:8 5:4	21:9	conclusions 15:2
5:2 7:11 8:13	Armitage 4:12	7:16	civility 25:18	conditions 23:3
admitted 3:7 9:8	4:13 6:6 8:10	Bob 18:12 19:12	claimed 14:9	23:5
9:16 10:3	11:24 15:12,17	19:15,17 20:13	21:24	confer 6:13
	15:23 20:14	22:12	clarification	confusion 7:5
11:15	aside 4:16	BOX 2:7,19	5:19	considered 18:5
adopted 21:20	asking 5:22 6:15	Bradley 1:11 2:4	clarify 15:14	23:22,23
afternoon 4:22	aspects 9:20	4:3	Cleaning 15:23	considering 22:5
Agency 1:7 2:18	1	4.5 break 8:3	clear 11:22	consistent 21:4
4:7,21 12:3	assigned 4:5	brief 8:11 24:7	Clerk's 25:8	constitute 18:14
13:18 16:22	attached 5:7,8			
17:8 20:7	5:23 7:12,14	24:17,24	client 6:14	constitutes 26:7
21:24 24:4	attended 22:16	briefing 16:5	close 19:8	construction
ago 12:19 16:21	Attorney 2:13	24:11	closed 15:18	16:12,19 20:22
17:11	17:17,23 21:3	bring 21:5	25:1	contacting 17:15
agreed 24:11	attorneys 26:11	Bureau 22:3	closer 18:10,12	context 19:22
25:3	authenticated	<u> </u>	closes 25:20	21:8
agreement	13:2 14:22		closing 8:11	continued 4:10
25:10	automated	C 5:6	24:6	Control 1:1,13
ahead 15:8	22:22	calculations	closings 16:4	2:2 4:4
Air 1:6 22:3	AVENUE 2:6	18:22 19:24	code 9:19,21	converted 23:14
alleged 18:4	2:19	20:1,5	collected 21:14	conveyed 22:18
20:24	р	call 4:14	comments 17:3	conveyors 19:14
allow 14:5	B	camera 12:22	25:4	19:19,20 23:14
analysis 16:17	B 3:6	13:17,21	community	copies 7:10
anticipate 22:24	back 4:2 8:6	cannons 22:7,20	21:16	copy 4:24
Anyway 25:15	barometric	care 8:13	company 1:3 4:6	correct 7:21

May 2, 2014

Page 28

				20.90 20
12:8	5:5,16 6:23,24	equipment	final 19:16	11:5,9 14:16
counsel 17:16	7:2,18 8:7	16:14 18:23	financial 26:12	14:20 15:5
course 21:10	11:23 16:20	19:12,16,17,23	find 19:5	granted 16:20
court 24:15	doing 24:15	20:1,5 22:15	first 4:19 8:14	17:5
coverage 22:19	draft 17:2,3,4,14	23:13,13	five 7:23 8:1	granting 17:12
cross-examina	Dragovich 16:18	especially 25:19	fixed 23:14	great 25:15
15:15	16:23	Estadt 8:18	FLOOR 2:16	grew 13:7
CSR 1:12 26:22	DRIVER 2:5	estimated 25:16	followed 19:7	guess 4:20 10:10
current 22:1	due 21:7 24:17	event 24:23	following 19:1	24:20
curriculum 9:11	24:24 25:9	everybody 16:6	foregoing 26:3,6	27.20
	dust 21:12 22:1	evidence 8:20	form 5:8,9 7:14	Н
D	22:4,8,13,14	9:9,17 10:4,7	24:1	H 3:6
D 2:6 3:2	Dwyer 2:5 14:8	10:18 11:1,12	formerly 20:11	Halloran 1:11
date 25:9 26:5	Dwyci 2.3 14.0	11:16 14:21	found 13:20	2:4 4:1,3,23,24
dated 12:1	E	Exactly 6:17	foundation	5:10,24 6:7,18
David 9:11	E 3:2,6	examination 3:3	14:23	7:24 8:5,12,23
day 1:14 4:14	e-mail 16:24	5:21	four 12:17 13:5	9:3,6,14,24
14:1 16:11	17:10	examining 4:15	fourth 13:9	10:5,13,19
22:17 25:15	e-mails 5:7,22	0	16:11	11:2,8,10,20
26:15	6:11 7:11,13	exception 5:21 excerpt 10:23	FPOP 22:6,7	12:9,13 13:12
days 20:10	20:11	-	· · · · ·	13:23 14:14,18
December 17:7	earlier 4:9	Exhibit 3:8,12	freezing 23:3	15:4,7,11,19
18:13 20:14	EAST 2:19	8:16,24 9:7,10	fugitive 17:19	15:22 16:3
22:17	either 14:12	9:15,19 10:2	22:4	24:3,8 25:14
decided 4:13	20:6 23:12	10:16,22 11:6	further 8:9 26:9	hand 4:24 26:14
deficient 23:10	electronic 25:7	11:12,14,17	future 17:21	handwriting
demonstrate	EMANUEL 2:9	exhibits 5:5 8:14	G	13:10
16:21	emissions 17:19	8:15 10:6,9	General 2:13	Handwritten
	19:24 20:6	11:11	17:23 21:3	13:10
denial 18:14,18		existing 21:4,5	General's 17:17	
19:10 20:22 24:2	employee 26:10	expedited 24:12		happened 17:22
	ends 19:3	24:16	give 8:1,10	18:9
denied 22:10	enforcement	explaining 15:1	given 12:23	head 22:3
deny 18:8 21:19	17:18,24 18:3	F	16:21	hear 14:1
23:8	20:20 21:1,2,6		go 4:20 8:1 15:8	hearing 1:11 2:4
depends 6:10	21:8	facility 10:11	16:4 24:10	4:1,3 5:10,24
deposition 11:24	engineer 16:18	14:10 16:14,15	goes 6:24	6:7,18 7:24 8:5
described 14:24	19:5	21:12	going 4:24 5:12	8:12,23 9:3,6
direction 22:24	entire 22:19	fact 4:12 18:2	6:5 8:1 14:6	9:14,24 10:5
disclosure 8:17	entitled 4:5	20:20	23:22	10:13,19 11:2
9:1	environment	fifth 5:1,9 7:17	Good 4:2,22	11:8,10,20
discuss 17:16	21:13	file 18:10,12,21	govern 18:17	12:9,13,24
dismiss 15:18	environmental	19:9	GRAND 2:19	13:12,23 14:14
dismissed 15:12	1:6 2:18 4:7	filed 18:3 20:20	Grant 2:14 6:15	14:18 15:4,7
docket 4:8	21:14,17,23	24:18,24 25:4	6:20 9:5,13,23	15:11,19,22
documents 4:18	EPA 19:4	filings 25:7,8	10:11,12,15,22	16:3,10 24:3,8

May 2, 2014

Page 29

				10190 20
24:19 25:14,16	17:5 20:8,9,18	kept 17:24 18:2	matters 19:22	note 14:21
25:16,20	22:18 23:10,17	18:7	matters 19.22 mean 20:16	notice 18:16
hearings 24:13	23:20	knew 22:12,15	means 26:4	20:9 23:12
held 26:4		knew 22.12,13 know 4:12 12:16		November 22:6
	initial 16:17,18		mechanical 26:4	
hereof 26:6	19:6	12:20,21 14:3	meeting 21:15	number 3:7 4:8
hereunder 26:14	inspection 13:19	15:11 17:8,8	22:16	13:8 14:21
HODGE 2:5,6	15:2 20:21	17:10,16 22:1	MICHELLE	19:14,17
hold 17:14	inspector 13:18	25:17	2:10	numbering
hour 1:15	instructed 18:11	knowledge 12:4	Mike 8:18 16:18	22:20
	insufficiency	known 20:4,7	16:22 17:13	0
	18:20	Kolaz 8:17 9:11	minutes 7:23 8:1	
IAGO 17:17	integration	Kotas 14:22	14:1	object 12:21
identification	22:22		missing 19:9	14:8
23:21	intended 17:23		model 19:17	objection 8:19
identified 9:1	interest 26:12	lacking 17:6,7	moments 16:21	9:4,13,23
13:3 17:6	investigated	largely 21:11	17:11	10:20,21 11:3
18:13,19 19:9	17:6 18:10	late 16:22 20:14	moot 12:10,12	11:4 13:5 14:2
19:15 21:15	involved 16:13	latest 24:20	MORGAN 2:18	14:5
22:7 23:7,9,11	17:20	led 16:18	morning 4:2	objections 14:12
identify 20:18	issue 21:21,22	LEE 2:18	13:15	14:12
IEPA 21:3,20	issues 17:19	left 5:15,19 7:13	motion 5:7,23	obligations
23:7		let's 15:12	7:15 11:23	21:21
IEPA's 21:20	J	letter 18:15	12:2	obviously 12:2
IL 2:3,7,11,16	J 2:14 9:11	20:16,17,17	move 8:20,22	21:1
2:20	JAMES 2:18	23:19,20	9:2,12,22	October 16:22
Illinois 1:1,6,12	Julie 11:24	License 1:18	10:18 11:1	offer 3:7 10:10
1:14 2:2,18 4:4	20:14	little 5:13 18:10	moved 9:6,14	11:7,13,18
4:6 17:17,23	justice 21:14,18	LLP 2:9	10:1,6 11:11	13:6
19:4 21:23	justify 19:10	log 4:17 6:23 7:3		Office 2:7,13,19
immediately		7:12	<u> </u>	17:18 25:8
19:1	<u>K</u>	long 16:10	N 3:2	Officer 1:11 2:4
include 7:1	Kari 1:11,17	look 5:13 8:6	name 4:3	4:1,4 5:10,24
included 5:3,5	26:2,22	18:12 25:2	need 5:13 6:13	6:7,18 7:24 8:5
22:22	KATHERINE		19:13,15	8:12,23 9:3,6
including 19:5	2:6	M	needed 23:18	9:14,24 10:5
incomplete 9:20	KATHRYN	MADISON 2:10	never 12:23	10:13,19 11:2
incompleteness	2:14	mailbox 25:5	13:18 18:15	11:8,10,20
18:15,16,17,20	KCBX 1:3 4:5	making 24:5	23:11	12:9,13 13:12
20:10 23:11	4:15 12:5	management	new 22:20	13:23 14:14,18
indicate 20:12	16:15,15 17:13	22:8 23:1	NOI 20:15	15:4,7,11,19
indicated 5:20	17:15,18 19:21	manufacturer	nonfreezing	15:22 16:3
22:4	20:4,7 21:7,15	19:12	23:4	24:3,8 25:14
indicates 17:4	23:16 25:3	matter 4:5 17:16	north 2:19 16:15	oh 7:19
indicating 16:23	KCBX's 10:11	19:18,22 26:4	19:21 23:13	okay 8:12 9:24
information	19:8	26:13	Nos 3:9,13 11:15	12:13 17:1
1				

May 2, 2014

Page 30

25:14	17:13 18:9,17	post-hearing	purposes 12:24	redirect 15:16
online 24:14,21	18:21 19:5	16:5 24:7,17	19:18	referenced 19:6
open 6:16	20:23 21:5,19	24:24	pursuant 4:18	referencing 23:2
opening 19:7	21:21,22 22:10	potential 20:6	7:15	reflect 4:23 14:7
operated 23:4	23:8 24:2	21:15	pursue 17:23	regarding 5:22
operation 22:9	permitted 16:14	potentially	pursuing 17:18	6:23 8:17
operational	16:15 19:21	17:21	pursuing 17.10	
22:21	20:2	practices 22:8	Q	regulation 10:17 21:4
		-	question 5:14	-
opinion 8:17	permitting	23:2	6:5,22 20:3	regulations
opposed 18:4	17:19 18:1,8	prepared 5:1	questions 5:15	10:23
order 4:18 5:4	19:19,23	Pressnall 17:11	5:17,22 6:6,10	regulatory
7:9,16	Petitioner 1:4	pressure 22:23	8:10 15:16	21:19
originally 24:11	2:12 4:6	previously 7:19		related 26:9,11
outcome 26:13	Petitioner's 3:8	13:8	QUINN 2:9	relating 5:16
outreach 21:14	8:14,16,24 9:7	privilege 4:17	R	18:22
outside 20:18	9:10,15,18	6:23 7:3,12	R1432 13:9	relatively 16:11
23:21,23	10:2,6,15 12:1	privileged 20:11	R2093 5:6 16:23	releasing 21:12
overrule 14:5	24:17	problem 22:2	R2097 20:12	relying 24:6
P	PETTI 2:15	23:22	R650 17:3	reply 25:3
	photograph	procedurally	raised 20:3	report 13:20
p.m 1:15 4:11	10:9,10 11:6	12:16	Randolph 1:13	15:2
25:9	14:22,24	proceeding 5:15	2:2	reported 26:3
P1 6:24	photographs	PROCEEDIN	rate 19:24 20:6	reporters 24:15
P42 6:24	12:22 13:6	1:10 25:21		reports 20:21
P43 7:3,18	photos 13:20	process 19:24	reading 22:23	representing
P44 7:4	14:9	20:6 21:7	really 14:1 reason 18:18	2:12,21 26:11
page 7:1 13:5,8	pieces 16:14	produced 6:24	22:15 23:16	request 17:12
16:6 20:12	18:22 22:15	7:6,9,13,16		requested 20:9
26:6	place 26:5	20:12	reasons 18:13	requests 18:1
pages 12:22	plan 5:17 22:4	Production	received 17:11	required 21:22
16:10 21:11	plume 14:10	11:23	25:8	respect 6:11
Pamenter 2:14	PM 17:19	professionalism	Reconsiderati	21:9 22:14
4:22 5:11,18	point 12:23	25:18	5:8,23 7:15	respective 16:4
6:2,9,13,21 7:8	17:20 18:9	proof 3:7 10:10	record 4:3,10,23	respond 14:17
7:20 15:6,8,9	policy 21:18,19	11:7,13,19	5:2 6:19 7:7,8	14:19
15:13,21 24:5	Pollution 1:1,12	13:7	7:11 8:2,6 9:2	Respondent 1:8
24:9 25:13	2:2 4:4	proper 14:23	9:12,22 12:17	2:21 4:7
part 13:3,6,19	portable 16:13	proposed 22:6	12:17 13:13,19	Respondent's
22:18 23:11	18:23 19:13,19	Protection 1:7	14:4,6,13 15:1	3:12 8:15 10:8
parties 25:10	19:20 22:15	2:18 4:7 21:24	15:3,17,23	10:16,22 11:6
26:10,12	23:14	provide 21:18	16:9 17:3	11:11,12,14,17
PCB 1:5 4:8	portion 9:19	provided 13:18	18:24 20:18	24:23
permit 1:6 13:4	10:16	13:21	21:11 22:18	response 5:4
13:22 16:13,18	position 17:9	Public 25:4	23:22,24 25:1	17:12,13
16:20 17:2,4,9	POST 2:7,19	purpose 15:1	redacted 5:8	responsive
				_

				Page 31
11:24	sir 13:13	SULLIVAN 2:9	testified 14:23	7:1
rest 7:6	site 13:20 14:11	summation 8:11	testimony 13:16	URQUHART
review 17:2,15	21:3 22:2,13	supplement 5:2	thank 5:10	2:9
reviewed 20:19	22:14,19,21	5:9 7:10,17	12:14 15:5,20	use 13:22
revised 20:22	situation 25:17	13:9 23:3	15:21,23 24:3	
22:6	somebody 19:4	supplements	24:8,14 25:17	V
revision 16:12	somebody's	12:17	25:20	valid 23:9
16:19	13:10	supposed 17:24	thing 11:21	various 22:8
rider 11:24	sorry 10:16 15:8	supposedly	things 5:13 19:8	versus 4:6
right 4:2 6:4	south 14:11	23:10	think 5:18,19	vicinity 14:11
15:5,19,22	16:16 23:15	suppress 22:13	6:2 8:18 12:2	violation 18:4,5
24:22	speak 4:19	22:14	13:8,16,24	24:1
rights 21:7	specific 18:22	suppression	24:22 25:3	violations 20:24
ROBERT 2:15	19:23 20:5	22:1,9	three 19:8 22:21	vitae 9:11
ROLAND 2:6	specifically	sure 8:23 14:15	time 5:13 14:2,8	vs 1:5
Room 1:13	10:17,24 22:11	14:19	22:10 25:2	
rule 18:6 21:21	23:2	Swedlow 2:9	26:5	W
25:6	SPRINGFIELD	4:15 5:1,12,14	title 26:6	want 6:16 8:19
rules 18:16	2:7,20	5:20 6:4,12,17	today 4:11 20:12	11:22 14:16
	stapled 18:23	6:22 7:18,22	24:20	15:15 24:10
<u> </u>	starting 5:6 19:1	8:1,6,8,9,21,24	told 18:8	25:17
s 3:6 22:24	state 4:16,20 6:5	9:10,18 10:21	top 13:10	wanted 14:20
says 20:16	6:8 12:18 13:5	11:4 12:8,11	transcript 1:10	20:8
schedule 16:5	14:4 15:15	12:15 13:14	24:12,17,19	wants 21:3
24:11	stated 4:9 12:3	14:6 15:5,14	26:7	WASHINGT
SCHMIT 2:10	25:5	16:7,8 24:4	transfer 16:13	2:15
section 9:19,21	statement 8:11	25:12	tree 13:7 14:3	wasn't 17:6
10:17,24 18:8	19:7	system 22:2,22	trucks 22:20	water 22:7,20,20
sections 9:21	station 22:23	23:4	true 26:7	way 13:1 21:6
send 17:1,12	statutory 21:18		turned 4:16 8:7	22:11 24:13
20:15	Steinert 8:18	T	12:4,24 24:21	weather 22:23
sending 17:14	stenographic	T 3:6	two 13:21	Wednesday
sent 18:15 20:15	26:3	take 8:13 12:5	two-day 25:16	24:22 week 12:19
sentence 20:16	step 15:24	18:11	U	weight 19:24
separate 18:1,2	STEPHEN 2:9	taken 1:10 8:4,6		20:6
18:7	stepped 16:1	11:12 14:9	un-adjudicated	Wells 20:15,17
serial 19:14	Street 1:13 2:2	talked 13:24	18:4 20:23	20:17 23:19,20
set 26:5	2:10,15	tangentially	un-redacted 5:9	weren't 18:23
short 8:3 showed 14:10	stuff 8:13 23:23	17:20 technical 18:14	7:10,14 understanding	West 1:13 2:2,10
sidestep 21:7	submitted 19:3	techniques 22:9	4:14,17 6:1	2:15
similar 23:13	20:1,5 22:6	telefax 25:7	4.14,17 0.1 12:7 24:19	Wiedenhaupt
Similar 23.13 Similarly 21:17	23:12,18,18	Terminals 1:3	undisputed	1:12,17 26:2
simple 16:11	subscribed	4:6	13:17	26:22
simple 10.11 simply 23:21	26:14 SUITE 2:2-11	Terry 8:17	unnumbered	winds 22:24
Simply 23.21	SUITE 2:3,11	 , 0.17		
L	1	1	1	I

May 2, 2014

Page 32

23:1	22:6 24:14	40 7:2		
withdrawn	22.0 24.14	40 7.2 41 7:2		
	2			
12:11	2 9:10,16 10:6,9	42 7:2 22:7,19		
witness 3:3 4:13	10:22 11:11	44 7:19		
16:1 18:11	2-025 1:14	5		
wouldn't 19:13	2-02 51114 2,000 16:10	500 2:10		
19:15		523-4900 2:8		
X	200 21:10	523-4900 2:8 524-1376 2:20		
	201.152 10:18			
X 3:2,6	201.158 9:20	5776 2:7		
Y	2014 1:15 5:4	5th 17:7 18:13		
Yeah 13:23	12:1 26:15	22:17		
14:14,18,20	204 19:2	6		
years 13:21	205 19:2	60601 2:3		
yesterday 4:10	212.321 10:24	60602 2:16		
4:14 5:20 7:16	217 2:8,20	60661 2:11		
11:22 12:3	21st 12:18	62705 2:7		
11.22 12.3	23 7:1	62794 2:20		
Z	24 7:1	69 2:15		
	2450 2:11	6th 25:5		
0	24th 12:19	otii 23.3		
084-004725 1:18	25 7:1 13:24	7		
	25th 12:1	705-7400 2:12		
1	26 7:1	703-7400 2.12 7th 24:22		
1 8:16,24 9:8	29th 24:13	7 th 27.22		
10:6,9,16	2nd 1:14 4:11	8		
11:11	24:20	814-2069 2:17		
1-2 3:9,13 11:15	3	814-6983 2:4		
1:10 4:11				
1:17 1:15	3 3:10,14 9:19	9		
10 3:10 16:22	10:3,6,9 11:6			
100 1:13 2:2	11:12,18			
1021 2:19	3/6/2012 13:11			
10th 20:14	30 7:1 14:1			
11 3:13,14	20:10			
11-500 2:3	30th 24:13			
12 16:13	31 7:2			
14-110 1:5 4:8	312 2:4,12,17			
1432 13:14	3150 2:6			
158 9:21	32 7:2			
16th 25:1,2	33 7:2			
17th 7:9	39(a) 18:6 21:21			
18TH 2:16	4			
192/0Z ¹ 19				
19276 2:19 1st 4:10,18 5:4	4 25:15 4:30 25:9			